UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,709	04/05/2007	Christopher James Newton Fryer	24963-0009	5228
29052 7590 10/10/2008 SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			EXAMINER	
			SILBERMANN, JOANNE	
ATLANTA, GA 30309			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/597,709	FRYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joanne Silbermann	3611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Arg</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request that any objection to the company is a specificant may not request the company is a specificant may n	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-					
	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10-102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/06,9/29/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/597,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 7 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berg-johansen, US patent application 2003/0214242 A1.
- 3. Berg-johansen discloses a light emitting display comprising a panel having a display area that may be lit or unlit [0008] and comprising a sensor 150 [0033] for sensing ambient light levels. The display may be electroluminescent and the sensor is placed behind the display ([0027]. The display is readable under a variety of lighting conditions [0002]. The display includes controller 120 [0033] which adjusts the display according to a mathematical function [0035]. A user may set a desired contrast level by adjusting manual brightness control input 190. The methods of using the system are described starting with paragraph [0058].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,709 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

5. Claims 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berg-johansen.

- 6. Berg-johansen does not specifically describe the display as being arranged to ignore short-term drops in measured light levels, however this is old and well known in the art. The examiner takes official notice of car headlights which turn on automatically in response to a sensor. Such headlights ignore short-term drops in ambient light levels. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to prevent the display of Berg-johansen from adjusting to short-term light level changes so as to provide a steady illumination.
- 7. Berg-johansen also does not specify that the sensor is mounted in the bounds of the display in the same plane, however this is well known in the art. The examiner takes official notice of illuminated digital clocks that have light sensors in an unlit area of the display panel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to place the ambient light sensor in the place of the display panel so as to provide an accurate measurement of the ambient light.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/597,709 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

/Joanne Silbermann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3611 8.